Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,808	TODD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob F. Bétit	2169	

All Participants:

(1) *Jacob F. Bétit*.

(2) *Timothy P. Sullivan (Reg. No. 47,981)*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *30 September 2010*

Time: *11:25*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
31

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner suggested the addition of the phrase "having computer executable instructions which when executed on a processor cause the processor to perform a method" to the preamble of claim 31. Applicant's representative agreed to the addition.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jacob F Bétit/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)